

ADVANCED GCE MATHEMATICS (MEI) Statistics 4

4769

Candidates answer on the answer booklet.

OCR supplied materials:

- 8 page answer booklet
- (sent with general stationery)
- MEI Examination Formulae and Tables (MF2)

Other materials required:

• Scientific or graphical calculator

Thursday 26 May 2011 Morning

Duration: 1 hour 30 minutes



INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Write your name, centre number and candidate number in the spaces provided on the answer booklet. Please write clearly and in capital letters.
- Use black ink. Pencil may be used for graphs and diagrams only.
- Read each question carefully. Make sure you know what you have to do before starting your answer.
- Answer any three questions.
- Do **not** write in the bar codes.
- You are permitted to use a scientific or graphical calculator in this paper.
- Final answers should be given to a degree of accuracy appropriate to the context.

INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

- The number of marks is given in brackets [] at the end of each question or part question.
- You are advised that an answer may receive **no marks** unless you show sufficient detail of the working to indicate that a correct method is being used.
- The total number of marks for this paper is **72**.
- This document consists of 4 pages. Any blank pages are indicated.

Option 1: Estimation

1 The random variable X has the Normal distribution with mean 0 and variance θ , so that its probability density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-x^2/2\theta}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where θ ($\theta > 0$) is unknown. A random sample of *n* observations from *X* is denoted by X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n .

(i) Find $\hat{\theta}$, the maximum likelihood estimator of θ . [14]

[4]

- (ii) Show that $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ .
- (iii) In large samples, the variance of $\hat{\theta}$ may be estimated by $\frac{2\hat{\theta}^2}{n}$. Use this and the results of parts (i) and (ii) to find an approximate 95% confidence interval for θ in the case when n = 100 and $\Sigma X_i^2 = 1000$.

Option 2: Generating Functions

- 2 The random variable X has the χ_n^2 distribution. This distribution has moment generating function $M(\theta) = (1 2\theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}n}$, where $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$.
 - (i) Verify the expression for $M(\theta)$ quoted above for the cases n = 2 and n = 4, given that the probability density functions of X in these cases are as follows. [10]

n = 2:
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}$$
 (*x* > 0)
n = 4: $f(x) = \frac{1}{4}xe^{-\frac{1}{2}x}$ (*x* > 0)

- (ii) For the general case, use $M(\theta)$ to find the mean and variance of X in terms of n. [7]
- (iii) Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k are independent random variables, each with the χ_1^2 distribution. Show that $W = \sum_{i=1}^{k} Y_i$ has the χ_k^2 distribution. [4]
- (iv) Use the Central Limit Theorem to find an approximation for P(W < 118.5) for the case k = 100. [3]

3

Option 3: Inference

- 3 (i) Explain the meaning of the following terms in the context of hypothesis testing: Type I error, Type II error, operating characteristic, power. [8]
 - (ii) A market research organisation is designing a sample survey to investigate whether expenditure on everyday food items has increased in 2011 compared with 2010. For one of the populations being studied, the random variable X is used to model weekly expenditure, in £, on these items in 2011, where X is Normally distributed with mean μ and variance σ^2 . As the corresponding mean value in 2010 was 94, the hypotheses to be examined are

H₀: $\mu = 94$, H₁: $\mu > 94$.

By comparison with the corresponding 2010 value, σ^2 is assumed to be 25.

The following criteria for the survey are laid down.

- If in fact $\mu = 94$, the probability of concluding that $\mu > 94$ must be only 2%
- If in fact $\mu = 97$, the probability of concluding that $\mu > 94$ must be 95%

A random sample of size n is to be taken and the usual Normal test based on \overline{X} is to be used, with a critical value of c such that H_0 is rejected if the value of \overline{X} exceeds c. Find c and the smallest value of n that is required. [13]

(iii) Sketch the power function of an ideal test for examining the hypotheses in part (ii). [3]

Option 4: Design and Analysis of Experiments

- 4 (a) Provide an example of an experimental situation where there is one factor of primary interest and where a suitable experimental design would be
 - (i) randomised blocks,
 - (ii) a Latin square.

In each case, explain carefully why the design is suitable and why the other design would not be appropriate. [12]

(b) An industrial experiment to compare four treatments for increasing the tensile strength of steel is carried out according to a completely randomised design. For various reasons, it is not possible to use the same number of replicates for each treatment. The increases, in a suitable unit of tensile strength, are as follows.

Treatment	Treatment	Treatment	Treatment
A	B	C	D
10.1 21.2 11.6 13.6	21.1 20.3 16.0	9.2 8.8 15.2 15.0	22.6 17.4 23.1 19.2

[The sum of these data items is 256.8 and the sum of their squares is 4471.92.]

Construct the usual one-way analysis of variance table. Carry out the appropriate test, using a 5% significance level. [12]

THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS PRINTED ON THIS PAGE

4



Copyright Information

OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in its assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements Booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations and is freely available to download from our public website (www.ocr.org.uk) after the live examination series. If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.

For queries or further information please contact the Copyright Team, First Floor, 9 Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 1GE.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.





Mathematics (MEI)

Advanced GCE

Unit 4769: Statistics 4

Mark Scheme for June 2011

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2011

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications PO Box 5050 Annesley NOTTINGHAM NG15 0DL

Telephone:0870 770 6622Facsimile:01223 552610E-mail:publications@ocr.org.uk

4769 June 2011 Qu 1

$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-x^2/2\theta} \qquad [N(0, \theta)]$	
(i) $L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-x_1^2/2\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-x_2^2/2\theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-x_n^2/2\theta}$ $\left[= (2\pi\theta)^{-n/2} e^{-\Sigma x_i^2/2\theta} \right]$	M1 product form A1 fully correct Note. This A1 mark and the next five A1 marks depend on <i>all</i> preceding M marks
$\ln L = -\frac{n}{2}\ln(2\pi\theta) - \frac{1}{2\theta}\sum x_i^2$	having been earned. M1 for In <i>L</i> A1 fully correct
$\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln L}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = -\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum x_i^2$	M1 for differentiating A1, A1 for each term
$\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln L}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = 0 \text{gives} \frac{n}{2\hat{\theta}} = \frac{1}{2\hat{\theta}^2} \sum x_i^2$	M1 A1
i.e. $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^2$	A1
Check this is a maximum. Eg:	M1
$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \ln L}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2} = \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{1}{\theta^3} \sum x_i^2$	A1
which, for $\theta = \hat{\theta}$, is $\frac{n}{2\hat{\theta}^2} - \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} = -\frac{n}{2\hat{\theta}^2} < 0$.	A1 for expression involving $\hat{ heta}$
	A1 for showing < 0
(ii) First consider $E(X^2) = Var(X) + {E(X)}^2 = \theta + 0$	M1 A1
$\therefore \mathbf{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n}(\theta + \theta + + \theta) = \theta$	A1
i.e. $\hat{ heta}$ is unbiased.	A1 [4]
(iii) Here $\hat{\theta} = 10$ and Est Var $(\hat{\theta}) = 2 \times 10^2 / 100 = 2$	B1, B1
Approximate confidence interval is given by $10 \pm 1.96\sqrt{2} = 10 \pm 2.77$, i.e. it is (7.23, 12.77).	M1 centred at 10 B1 1.96 M1 Use of √2 A1 c.a.o. Final interval [6]

4769 June 2011 Qu 2

Solution continued on next page

4769 June 2011 Qu 2 continued

(iii)	By convolution theorem,	M1	
	$M_{W}(\theta) = \left\{ \left(1 - 2\theta\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{k} = \left(1 - 2\theta\right)^{-k/2}.$	B1	
	This is the mgf of χ^2_k ,		
	so (by uniqueness of mgfs)	M1	
	$W \sim \chi_k^2$.	B1	
			[4]
(iv)	$W \sim \chi^2_{100}$ has mean 100, variance 200. Can regard W as		
	the sum of a large "random sample" of χ^2_1 variates.		
	$\therefore P(\chi_{100}^2 < 118.5) \approx P\left(N(0,1) < \frac{118.5 - 100}{\sqrt{200}} = 1.308\right)$	M1 for use of N(0,1) A1 c.a.o. for 1.308	
	= 0.9045.	A1 c.a.o.	
			[3]

4769 June 2011 Qu 3

(i)		8 separate B1 marks for components of answer, as shown
	Type I error: rejecting null hypothesis [B1] when it is true [B1]	Allow B1 out of 2 for P()
	Type II error: accepting null hypothesis [B1] when it is false [B1]	Allow B1 out of 2 for P()
	OC: P(accepting null hypothesis [B1] as a function of the parameter under investigation [B1])	P(Type II error the true value of the parameter) scores B1+B1
	Power: P(rejecting null hypothesis [B1] as a function of the parameter under investigation [B1])	P(Type I error the true value of the parameter) scores B1+B1. "1 – OC" as definition scores zero. [8]
(ii)	$X \sim N(\mu, 25)$ $H_0: \mu = 94$ $H_1: \mu > 94$	
	We require $0.02 = P(reject H_0 \mu = 94) = P(\overline{X} > c \mu = 94)$	M1
	$= P(N(94,25/n) > c) = P(N(0,1) > \frac{c-94}{5/\sqrt{n}})$	M1 for first expression M1 for standardising
	$\therefore \frac{c-94}{5/\sqrt{n}} = 2.054$	B1 for 2.054
	We also require $0.95 = P(reject H_0 \mu = 97)$	
	$= P(N(97,25/n) > c) = P(N(0,1) > \frac{c-97}{5/\sqrt{n}})$	M1 for first expression M1 for standardising
	$\therefore \frac{c-97}{5/\sqrt{n}} = -1.645$	B1 for –1.645
	: we have $c = 94 + \frac{10.27}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $c = 97 - \frac{8.225}{\sqrt{n}}$	M1 two equations A1 both correct (FT any previous errors)
	Attempt to solve; c = 95.666 [allow 95.7 or awrt]	M1 A1 c.a.o.
	$\sqrt{n} = 6.165$, $n = 38.01$ Take <i>n</i> as "next integer up" from candidate's value	A1 c.a.o. A1
		[13]
(111)	Power function: step function from 0 with step marked at 94	G1 G1
	to height marked as 1	G1
	-	Zero out of 3 if step is wrong way
		[3]

Г

4769 June 2011 Qu 4

(a)	Each E2 in this part is available as E2, E1, E0.		
(i)	Description of situation where randomised blocks would be suitable, ie one extraneous factor (eg stream down one side of a field).	E2	
	Explanation of why RB is suitable (the design allows the extraneous factor to be "taken out "separately).	E2	
	Explanation of why LS is not appropriate (eg: there is only one extraneous factor; LS would be unnecessarily complicated; not enough degrees of freedom would remain for a sensible estimate of experimental error).	E2	
(ii)	Description of situation where Latin square would be suitable, ie two extraneous factors (and all with same number of levels) (eg streams down two sides of a field).	E2	
	Explanation of why LS is suitable (the design allows the extraneous factors to be "taken out "separately).	E2	
	Explanation of why RB is not appropriate (RB cannot cope with two extraneous factors).	E2	
(b)	(b) Totals are 56.5 57.4 60.6 82.3 from samples of sizes 4 3 5 4		
	M1 for attempt to form three sums of squares. M1 for correct method for any two.		
	A1 if each calculated SS is correct.		
<u>Source</u> Betwe <u>Reside</u> Total	e of variation SS df MS [M1] MS ratio [M1] een treatments 202.47 3 [B1] 67.49 5.47(92) [A1 cao] ual 147.81 12 [B1] 12.3175 350.28 15	5 marks within the table, as shown	
	M1 No FT if wrong A1 No FT if wrong E1 E1 [12]		

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

14 – 19 Qualifications (General)

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



4769: Statistics 4

General Comments

There were only 18 candidates for this module this year, thinly spread over 9 centres. This is a much smaller entry than last year.

There was much good work with many candidates scoring highly, but comparatively little that was really outstanding.

As usual, the paper consisted of four questions, each within a defined "option" area of the specification. The rubric requires that three be attempted. Two candidates in fact attempted all four. The best three attempts counted. In general, attempting all four questions is not a good strategy; it is better to try to complete three questions. All the questions attracted a reasonable number of attempts, with question 4, on design and analysis of experiments, the least popular though not by very much.

Comments on Individual Questions

1 This was on the "estimation" option. It was based on maximum likelihood estimation.

Part (i), on finding a maximum likelihood estimator, inevitably involved quite a lot of technical work. This was mostly well done, though a few candidates did not know how to form the likelihood to start with (a few follow-through marks were available for subsequent methods). Some candidates had difficulty showing that the obtained turning-point is indeed a maximum; in this case, the actual estimator has to be inserted in the second derivative.

Part (ii) required candidates to show that (in this case – it is *not* true in general) the maximum likelihood estimator is unbiased. Mostly this was done well, but a few candidates became badly lost in confusion between sample and population quantities.

Part (iii) required candidates to obtain an approximate 95% confidence interval for the parameter, using a given result for the variance of the estimator. Again this was mostly done well, but there were some very bad errors of introducing " σ / \sqrt{n} " in the denominator.

2 This was on the "generating functions" option and was concerned with moment generating functions of chi-squared distributions.

There was good technical work here. It was pleasing to see integrals carefully set out in correct and full notation and with proper attention to insertion of limits, and likewise pleasing to see careful differentiation in part (ii) (not too many cases of a disappearing minus sign). Part (iii) required candidates to invoke fairly explicitly the uniqueness of the relationship between a distribution and its moment generating function. Part (iv) was an application of the Central Limit Theorem; most candidates knew how to use the Normal distribution here, but there were some strange errors with the parameters.

3 This question was on the "inference" option.

It started by requiring definitions of Type I error, Type II error, operating characteristic and power. Sadly there were still candidates who had Type I and Type II errors the wrong way round, which is a bad mistake at this level. In the case of the power, a statement that "power = 1 -operating characteristic" was not accepted as a *definition* of power.

Part (ii) required a critical value and the minimum sample size to be found for a Normal test for the mean, given some criteria for the errors. This was commonly done well.

Part (iii) required a sketch of an ideal power function. Some quite extraordinary sketches came forward here, completely wrong and in some cases simply bizarre, even from candidates who had met with reasonable success in the earlier parts.

4 This was on the "design and analysis of experiments" option.

The first part required candidates to discuss and compare the randomised blocks design and the Latin square design, giving an example for each situation. Mostly this was done fairly well, but candidates were not always completely sound about how these designs can "allow for" one or two extraneous factors.

The second part required an analysis of variance to be carried out, which was usually done efficiently and correctly.



GCE Mathematics (MEI)								
		Max Mark	а	b	С	d	е	u
4751/01 (C1) MEI Introduction to Advanced Mathematics	Raw	72	55	49	43	37	32	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4752/01 (C2) MEI Concepts for Advanced Mathematics	Raw	72	53	46	39	33	27	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4753/01 (C3) MEI Methods for Advanced Mathematics with Coursework: Written Paper	Raw	72	54	48	42	36	29	0
4753/02 (C3) MEI Methods for Advanced Mathematics with Coursework: Coursework	Raw	18	15	13	11	9	8	0
4/53/82 (C3) MEI Methods for Advanced Mathematics with Coursework: Carried Forward Coursework Mark	Raw	18	15	13	11	9	8	0
4753 (C3) MEI Methods for Advanced Mathematics with Coursework	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4754/01 (C4) MEI Applications of Advanced Mathematics	Raw	90	63	56	50	44	38	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4755/01 (FP1) MEI Further Concepts for Advanced Mathematics	Raw	72	59	52	45	39	33	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4756/01 (FP2) MEI Further Methods for Advanced Mathematics	Raw	72	55	48	41	34	27	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4757/01 (FP3) MEI Further Applications of Advanced Mathematics	Raw	72	55	48	42	36	30	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4/58/01 (DE) MEI Differential Equations with Coursework: Written Paper	Raw	72	63	57	51	45	39	0
4/58/02 (DE) MEI Differential Equations with Coursework: Coursework	Raw	18	15	13	11	9	8	0
4758/82 (DE) MEI Differential Equations with Coursework: Carried Forward Coursework Mark	Raw	18	15	13	11	9	8	0
4758 (DE) MEL Differential Equations with Coursework	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4761/01 (M1) MEL MECHANICS 1	Raw	12	60	52	44	36	28	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4762/01 (M2) MET MECHANICS 2	Raw	12	64	57	51	45	39	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4763/01 (M3) MEI MECHANICS 3	Raw	12	59	51	43	35	27	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4764/01 (M4) MEI MECHANICS 4	Raw	12	54	47	40	33	26	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4766/01 (S1) MEL STATISTICS 1	Raw	12	53	45	38	31	24	0
	UMS	100	80	70	60	50	40	0
4767/01 (S2) MET Statistics 2	Raw	12	60	53	46	39	33	0
	UNS	100	60	70	60	50	40	0
4768/01 (S3) MEL STATISTICS 3	Raw	12	56	49	42	35	28	0
	UNS	100	60	70	60	50	40	0
4769/01 (S4) MEI Statistics 4	Raw	12	56	49	42	35	28	0
4774/04 (D4) MEL Design Methematics 4	Devu	100	60	10	00	50	40	0
4771/01 (D1) MET Decision Mathematics 1	Raw	100	51	45	39	33	27	0
4770/04 (D2) MEL Desision Methometics 2	Devu	100	50	70	00	30	40	0
4772/01 (D2) MET Decision Mathematics 2	Raw	100	58	53	48	43	39	0
4772/01 (DC) MEL Decision Mathematics Computation	Divio	100	00 46	10	24	30	40	0
4773/01 (DC) MEL Decision Mathematics Computation	LIME	100	40	40	04 60	29	24	0
4772/01 (NIM) MELNumerical Matheda with Coursework, Written Depar	Divio	100	60	70	40	30	40	0
4776/01 (NM) MET Numerical Methods with Coursework, Coursework	Raw	12	02	20	49	43	30	0
4776/02 (NIN) MET Numerical Methods with Coursework: Coursework	Raw	10	14	12	10	ð o	1	0
4776 (NIM) MET Numerical Methods with Coursework. Carried Polward Coursework Mark	LIMC	10	14	12	60	0 50	1	0
4777 (INIW) MET Numerical Methods with Coursework	Divid	72	55	10	20	20	40	0
477701 (NC) MET Numerical Computation	LIMC	100	20	47 70	39 60	32 50	20 40	0
	UNIO	100	00	10	00	30	ΨU	U